| _ | | | | | | | | | | | KISK KEGISI | | 0,00,10 | _ |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Risk No | Category of Risk Risk (Description) | Sub Risks | Factors | Potential Consequences | Existing Mitigations/Risk Controls | Additional mitigating action required | Action due date | Previou Responsible Officer score | s risk Curr Scor | ent Risk S | Direction of Travel | Action Target/ Review Date | Target Risk Scor | Target Risk Score re (overall) |
| | | | | Quality of Council Services affected. Delay in service | Organisational Development journey Grow your own - actual or planned | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Inability to recruit and retain staff (to posts | Competition/limited supply of labour Flexible/homeworking | provision. Increase in complaints etc. Budget strain from using | Agile working/hybrid working Review of pay policy allowances | Succession planning. Forecasting capacity | | | | | | | | |
| <u>C1</u> | All Risk) | already have) | Industrial action Cost or nving/deprivation impacts Cultural changes arising from pandemic | temporary/agency staff. Quality of life of borough residents affected. | Use of agency staff | needs | | SM JM/CW | CII | | | | DII | |
| | | | Aging population Migration | Reputational damage | | Deliberative democracy to understand resource allocation | | AF/KD/EH | | | | | | |
| | | Inability to adapt Council service provision to | Demand for online services Managing expectation | Resourcing pressures e.g. Waste collection. Limitations on availability of | Effective Corporate Plan delivery including deliberative outcomes as evidence base | Forecasting future resource needs - fed | | | | | | | | |
| | | accommodate changing customer needs and expectations | Periodic need for extra waste round | affordable housing and being able to meet housing needs. | Effective Local Plan S&I work on online service provision | from demand forecast Review S106/commuted sum allocation | | CW/SF/PT | DII | | | | EII | _ |
| | | Inability to recruit and retain a sufficient level of appropriately skilled staff needed to deliver and | Limited supply of appropriately skilled staff. All Local Authorities in similar position therefore increased competition | Non compliance with statutory responsibilities. | Build up reserves in advance to meet need Resource projects - staff and funding | Full costing of staff/fuel etc. for rounds. | | | | | | | | |
| | | expand on new responsibilities e.g. food waste | Government support not forthcoming or uncertain | Potential health and safety impacts? | See C4. Budget approvals being sought. New burdens funding | Depot costs (capacity for parking new vehicles) | | +ML | СІІ | c | | | DII | DII |
| | | | | | Leadership Development Programme (Collaborate) is mitigation against poor leadership. De-mystifying language for officers | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deterioration in quality of service provision. | Developing structural governance training for officers for nuts and bolts e.g. procurement. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Regulatory/legislative breaches resulting in penalties/sanctions | New manager induction programme under development | | | | | | | | | |
| | Managerial/ Failure of Internal | Inability to sustain appropriate quality of | Experience and knowledge of staff in leadership positions. Changes in ways of working and adapting to | conduct and fraud Outcomes and objectives of | Ontinuing good officer/member relations Officer/Member Codes of Conduct | Developing structural governance training for officers for nuts and bolts e.g. | | AF | | | | | | |
| C2 | Professional Governance Arrangements | leadership | leading in a more agile environment | CAP projects not fully realised | | procurement More detailed plan to embed AGS development throughout the year | | KD/CW/EH | DII | | | | EII | |
| | | | | | | Political Awareness - development as appropriate for all levels | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Refresher training for all staff on governance issues to minimise complacency | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Regular Statutory Officers meetings with Governance action plan. Governance and Risk Management forms | Improve understanding of policies and processes at officer level Refresh of recent failures work. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Governance failings not promptly recognised and identified | Annual Governance Statement (assessment not embedded) Setting an unsustainable budget. | Governance failing at TVBC. Special measures imposed | part of Corporate Dashboard. Exercises undertaken to learn from the experiences of other failing authorities | Consideration of the LA failures and factoring into the Council's action plans. | | Statutory Officers | DII | | | | EII | |
| | | | | | Internal Audit Team planned review of internal control processes Training provided to Audit Committee | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Members Clearly defined Terms of Reference for the Audit Committee | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Inexperienced Members | and effectively scrutinised. | Compliance with IIA standards and annual assessment against these reported to Audit Committee. | Consideration of independent Member in | | | | | | | | |
| | | Failure to maintain an effective internal control environment | Internal control processes not robustly managed | Qualified opinion in Annual Governance Statement Increase in fraud | Robust and reviewed Constitution including CSOs and Financial Procedure Rules | accordance with CIPFA best practice. Review of the Constitution and the subsets within these is ongoing | | CW/KD | DII | | | | DII | |

ANNEX 1 CORPORATE RISK REGISTER AS AT 06/06/23

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ANNEX 1 | |
|------------|------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|------|------------------------|--|------|
| Risk No | Category of Risk | Risk (Description) | Sub Risks | Factors | Consequences | Existing Mitigations/Risk Controls | Additional mitigating action required | Action due date | Responsible Officer | Previous risk score | Current Risk Score | | Direction of Travel | Action Target/ Targ Review Date Risk | |
| | | | | Decisions not made at the correct level/correct committee Decision taken by decision maker are incorrect. Decisions are based on reports containing inadequate details | Poor decisions made | delegations | Review of single report template underway | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Failure of decision making processes | inadequate details. Undue influence Drop in interest rates/Inflation | Reputational damage | Effective OSCOM Professional support for report authors | Requirement to submit reports within x days of agenda publishing | | EH/KD/CW | | DII | DII | | DII | DII |
| | | | | Loss of major tenants (rental income) Loss of investment income (debt management) New government burdens Economic shocks e.g. Ukraine Ability to generate new income Change in business rates retained income | | Established process of building reserves | Regular review and update of Medium Financial Strategy to ensure forecast budget gaps are known in advance Commercial levers altered to increase income | | | | | | | | |
| C3 | Economic/ Financial | Inability to set a balanced budget | Inability to set revenue budget | Difficulty identifying budget savings | Issue of S114 notice | for future losses/increased costs Don't build in grant funding like NHB to base budget | S106 (commuted sums) calculation/allocation review - (Will it last? What next? Review how allocate?) | | сw | | DII | | | DII | |
| | | | | Failure to generate capital receipts Inability to fund regen programmes Financial impacts on all/many priorities because of the significant value of the regen project | Not able to fully deliver on all aspects of regeneration programmes within planned timeframe. Junable to commit to wider capital programme. | Established process of building reserves for future losses/increased costs Review of land assets Revenue contributions Resources set aside (regen pot) Development of officer regen team | Regular review of the capital strategy and forecast balances of capital reserves | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Inability to set capital budget | Loss of investment due to regen priorities | Inability to adequatley maintain | | New regen project/programme framework | | cw | | СІІ | | | DII | |
| | | | Inability to fund AMP | Costs greater than annual contribution to reserves Funding - unknown funding requirement to | council assets / replace life- expired vehicles and plant | Established process of building reserves for future losses/increased costs | Review of AMP | | cw | | DII | DII | | DII | DII |
| C4 | Environmental | Inability to fulfil emerging environmental duties and ambitions | Inability to meet Climate emergency commitments. | Organisational ambition | Not becoming a carbon neutral organisation | Climate Emergency Action Plan (CEAP) Ability to switch between HVO and other fuel | Review of CEAP governance underway Implementation of actions arising from 22/23 internal audit. | | GS | | CIII | | | DIII | |
| | | | Inability to meet the requirements of the Environment Act inc waste reform and Biodiversity | Capacity to deliver Workforce skills Limited guidance (details for BNG from DEFRA/Nat England. Detail (secondary | Breach of Environment Act Inability to make appropriate | Early funding/ability to start procurement Mitigation/Provision/Framework to satisfy BNG - New Ecologist - Land purchase - consideration of - Review own estate potential (ongoing) and | | | PW/SF | | | | | | |
| | | | Net Gain (BNG) | Iegislation) not expected until summer 2023) Changing needs of communities Population growth and population changes e.g. demographics and aging Policy decisions of other organisations Partner service provision Officer capacity due to high demand | planning decisions | other possible options. Keep communities informed as far as can. Forecasting via housing market | Infratstructure Local Plan - Specific needs met through housing type and infrastructure provision Making sure the Council has the | | PB/SF | | DIII To be scored when Corporate | CIII | | 0111 | DIII |
| C5 | | Inability to deliver and meet the Corporate Plan priorities and objectives? | | Delivery and access to affordable housing Cost of living Mass migration - Ukraine Rent levels exceeding LHA - affordability of rent | Inability to meet the needs of the communities | People focused approach should place the Council well to deal with issues that arise e.g. existing community resilience. Corporate action plan is process to focus on the resources and capacity required to deliver on those priorities | Given new plan taking place based working to define this further to understand what this means in practice. e.g. in service planning | | GS/DT/JM/PT | | Action Plan complete (C or DII current estimate?) To reflect risk assessment scoring in Cabinet report. | | | | |

| Risk No | Category of Risk | Risk (Description) | Sub Risks | Factors | Consequences | Existing Mitigations/Risk Controls | Additional mitigating action required | Action due date | Responsible Officer | Previous risk score | Current Risk Score | Current Risk Score (overall) | Direction of Travel | Action Target/ Review Date | Target Risk Score | Target Risk Score (overall) |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| C6 | Managerial/ Professional | Inability to deliver Services in event of a major incident or | Failure of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) Disaster recovery - Failure of IT reciprocal arrangements | Power outages/restrictions Increased risk of cyber attacks Involvement of 3rd parties/contractors hosting systems e.g. MHR Personal data held in some systems | Impacts on continuity of critical Council services. | Agile working has reduced the need for physical location for staff Changes to way BCP managed to give more resource Hosting journey to cloud (methods work) Bolstering of skills through training of other officers | Review/scope what is (or should be covered by BCP (Corporate review) - PROJECT Weekend monitoring and response to cyber incidents Testing of BCP arrangements for eventuality of systems being lost Ensuring most data can be accessed remotely Refresh of BCP and review of need for physical premises Commitment to a clear (and managed) staff training programme (awareness for all) Creation of new roles/rota (refresh) Development of clear roadmap towards hosted systems | | M | | DI | | | | DI | |
| C7 | | Inability to deliver major projects and programmes | Failure to comply with duties under Civil Contingency Act | Insufficient resources given to Emergency Plan Maintaining a wide enough pool of sufficiently trained staff | Inadequate response to emergency incidents Reputational damage | Emergency Response Plan in place. Take part in local Resilience forum and exercises. Improved resilience on single points of failure (resourcing) Ensure staff are sufficiently trained SLA with HCC covering Civil Contingency Act compliance. Regeneration programme risk workshop and on-going monitoring of regeneration- specific risk register. Regeneration Board and governance structure | To further bolster support for emergency planning officer/deputies Something to include in AMP/Capital planning (formal projects) -TOR Structured review of resource implications before implementation To take forward/plan actions re the outcomes from the regen risk workshop and produce risk register | | SM/JM GS | | DII | DI | | | DII | DII |

ANNEX 1